

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box

Patent Application

Applicant(s): Thaddeus J. Gabara

Case:

80

Serial No.:

09/870,436 May 30, 2001

Filing Date: Group:

2816

Examiner:

Hai L. Nguyen

Title:

Comparator Circuits Having Non-Complementary

Input Structures

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated September 30, 2004 in the above-referenced application, Applicant hereby requests reinstatement of the appeal pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.193(b)(2). A Third Supplemental Appeal Brief is submitted concurrently herewith.

With regard to the objection to claims 1 and 37 raised in the Office Action, Applicant respectfully traverses the objection. The Examiner argues that the term "non-complementary structures" as used to describe the relative structures of the first and second input legs is unclear in view of the arrangement shown in FIG. 14. However, the specification at page 10, lines 11-17, clearly defines the term in question in a manner that is entirely consistent with FIG. 14. Examples of conventional comparators each having a set of complementary input structures are shown, for example, in FIGS. 5A, 5B and 5C, and are described at page 4, line 27, to page 5, line 10.

Accordingly, the objection is believed to be improper, and should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 31, 2005

Joseph B. Ryan

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 37,922

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-7517